

## **Minutes of Planning Committee Meeting held via Zoom 20/3/20**

**19/01238/FUL** land to rear of 4 Rose Hill Lickey Worcestershire new dwelling

Lickey and Blackwell Parish Council object to this application. Our recently adopted Neighbourhood Development Plan is very clear that we do not approve of developments in gardens. Please see policy HI of our NDP - Although the NDP supports new development within existing settlements subject to criteria set out in Policy H1, new housing in gardens of existing properties can have a significant adverse impact on local character and the amenity of neighbouring residents.

We feel that this development is not in keeping with the others in the area and is too large. We also agree with comments of the Highways department and regard this as an 'unsustainable location'. There are trees that will need to be protected with regard to this potential development and much guidance provided by the tree officer, yet we do not feel that the risk is justified to the trees.

**20/00124/FUL** 54 Marlbrook Lane. Proposed first floor extension and dormer window to front elevation.

Lickey and Blackwell Parish Council have no objection to this application.

**20/00257/FUL** 18 Greenhill, Burcot, Bromsgrove, Worcestershire. Proposed 2 storey 3 bed house

Lickey and Blackwell Parish Council object to this application. We note the previous refused applications. We feel that there are such difficulties on the highway at this location, that although we would in principle support a small development of this nature within our village envelope, as it meets our need for smaller dwellings, this location is unsuitable. Full surveys would need to be carried out of speeds, amount of traffic, monitoring of difficulties experienced by motorists and suitability of HGVs on this very narrow road which is single track in places.

**20/00210/FUL** 37 Linehouse Lane Marlbrook. Dropped kerb.

Lickey and Blackwell Parish Council have no objection to this application

We also heard from Stuart Howe regarding the appeal for the development of land to rear of 34-36 Lickey Square, Lickey, Birmingham. Bromsgrove Application 19/01388/FUL. It was agreed that Aled and Janet would formulate a response to be sent to prior to 6<sup>th</sup> April deadline.

## **Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – Friday 3<sup>rd</sup> April 11am via Zoom meeting ID 8796186156**

**Present: Cllr S Nock (Lead), Cllr A Luckman, Cllr J King, Cllr J Harvey and EO**

**20/00258/FUL** 5 Plymouth Road Barnt Green Birmingham Worcestershire B45 8JE. Single storey rear extension; two storey rear and side extension. – Lickey and Blackwell PC have no objection to this application.

We agreed our response to the appeal on Lickey Square with reference to our NDP. EO to forward to the Inspector.

**Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – Friday 17th April 11am Zoom Meeting ID 879 618 6156**

**Present: Cllr S Nock Lead, Cllrs J King, J Harvey and A Luckman, resident Mrs S Woodhouse and EO.**

**20/00396/FUL** 4 Hollyfield Drive. Raise existing southerly section of roof to line through with main roof and provide 2 no.additional dormers. Add new bay window to the ground floor living room eastern elevation. Lickey and Blackwell Parish Council have no objection to this application

**20/00350/FUL** 348 Old Birmingham Road. Rear single storey extension and addition of lean to roof to existing first floor side extension. Hip to gable roof extension at the side and flat roof dormer extension at the rear. Lickey and Blackwell Parish Council have no objection to this application

**19/01355/FUL. appeal to refusal of application** 11A High House Drive, Lickey.

Construction of first floor side extension, part two storey rear extension, part single storey rear extension to replace the single storey extensions at the rear".

We decided to reply to the inspectorate with our original comments supported with reference to our now 'made' NDP and the recent CPRE study on the Lickey Hills.

"Lickey and Blackwell Parish Council object to this application as it exceeds the 40% maximum development given previous extensions to the property. The property is in green belt and further extension would impact on openness and visual amenity."